

Graham B. LippSmith (SBN 221984)  
[g@lippsmith.com](mailto:g@lippsmith.com)

MaryBeth LippSmith (SBN 223573)  
[mb@lippsmith.com](mailto:mb@lippsmith.com)

Jaclyn L. Anderson (SBN 258609)  
[jl@lippsmith.com](mailto:jl@lippsmith.com)

**LIPPSMITH LLP**  
555 S. Flower Street, Suite 4400  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Tel: (213) 344-1820 / Fax: (213) 513-2495

Jeremy M. Glapion  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
[jmg@glapionlaw.com](mailto:jmg@glapionlaw.com)  
**GLAPION LAW FIRM**  
1704 Maxwell Drive  
Wall, New Jersey 07719  
Tel: (732) 455-9737 / Fax: (732) 965-8006

Jason T. Dennett (WSBA #30686)  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
[jdennett@tousley.com](mailto:jdennett@tousley.com)  
Kaleigh N. Boyd (WSBA #52684)  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
[kboyd@tousley.com](mailto:kboyd@tousley.com)  
**TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC**  
1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3147  
Tel: (206) 682-5600 / Fax: (206) 682-2992

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHAEL MCGINNIS and  
CYNDY BOULTON, individually,  
and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated;

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMUNITY.COM, INC.;

Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 2:23-cv-02426-SB-JPR

**STIPULATION TO DISMISS CLAIMS  
BASED ON DISMISSAL IN PRIOR  
RELATED MATTER**

1 On March 18, 2021, Shawn Adler and Gavin McDonough filed *Adler v.*  
2 *Community.com, Inc.*, 21-cv-2416 (C.D. Cal.). [*Adler*, Dkt. 1.] The *Adler* case was  
3 assigned to this Court on March 19, 2021. [*Adler*, Dkt. 6.] The plaintiffs filed an  
4 amended complaint in that matter on April 5, 2021. [*Adler*, Dkt. 14.]

5 On June 8, 2021, Defendant moved to dismiss the *Adler* matter (“Motion”).  
6 On August 2, 2021, the Court granted in part and denied in part the Motion. [*Adler*,  
7 Dkt. 32.] Specifically, the Court dismissed the Telephone Consumer Protection Act  
8 (“TCPA”) claims with prejudice, dismissed claims made under the Wiretap Act  
9 § 2511 and the California Invasion of Privacy Act (“CIPA”) § 631 without leave to  
10 amend, and denied the motion to dismiss claims made under CIPA §§ 632 and 632.7.

11 In its decision regarding the Wiretap Act and the CIPA § 631 claims dismissed  
12 without leave to amend, the Court wrote, “If Plaintiffs learn additional facts in  
13 discovery that would cure the legal deficiencies identified in this order, they may  
14 seek leave to amend to re-plead these dismissed claims.” [*Adler*, Dkt. 32.]

15 Plaintiffs Adler and McDonough subsequently voluntarily dismissed their  
16 claims with prejudice. [*Adler*, Dkt. 42.]

17 On March 31, 2023, Plaintiffs McGinnis and Boulton filed the instant matter  
18 against Community.com, Inc. with primarily the same counsel that represented  
19 plaintiffs in the *Adler* matter. [Dkt. 1.] Except for claims under the TCPA, which  
20 were pled in *Adler* but are not pled here, the causes of action in *Adler* are identical  
21 to the causes of action pled here. The Defendant, Community.com, Inc., is identical  
22 in both cases.

23 After Plaintiffs named *Adler* as a Related Case in the Civil Cover Sheet [Dkt.  
24 2], the Court transferred this action to this Court by Order dated April 7, 2023 [Dkt.  
25 17].

26 Plaintiffs in this matter re-pled the CIPA § 631 and Wiretap Act claims that  
27 were dismissed without leave to amend in *Adler* for purposes of preservation.  
28

Further, Defendant would seek to dismiss the Wiretap Act and CIPA § 631 claims on the same grounds raised in its *Adler* motion to dismiss. [*Adler*, Dkt. 32.]

Accordingly, the Parties jointly incorporate by reference their arguments made with respect to the Wiretap Act and CIPA § 631 in the *Adler* Motion briefing and argument [*Adler* Dkts. 23, 25, 29, and 31] for both parties' preservation purposes, and respectfully ask that the Court dismiss the Wiretap Act and CIPA § 631 claims on the same terms as in *Adler*: without leave to amend, but with permission to seek leave to amend the complaint should discovery on any surviving claims cure the legal deficiencies identified in the Court's order on the *Adler* Motion. Unless such leave is granted, the Parties agree that discovery will relate only to the remaining claims, if any, that survive Defendant's forthcoming motion to dismiss.

Dated: July 12, 2023

**LIPPSMITH LLP**

By: /s/ Jaclyn L. Anderson

GRAHAM B. LIPPSMITH  
MARYBETH LIPPSMITH  
JACLYN L. ANDERSON

**TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC**  
JASON T. DENNETT  
KALEIGH N. BOYD

**GLAPION LAW FIRM, LLC**  
JEREMY M. GLAPION

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**

By: /s/ Nancy R. Thomas

TIFFANY CHEUNG  
MICHAEL BURSHTYEN  
NANCY R. THOMAS

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
COMMUNITY.COM INC.

**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-4.3.4, I, Jaclyn L. Anderson, as the ECF user under whose credentials this document is filed, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California this 12th day of July, 2023.

/s/ Jaclyn L. Anderson

JACLYN L. ANDERSON